

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Horn [mailto:michael@andyettheyfly.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 11:33 AM

To: James Randi; SKEPTICMAG@aol.com; vaughn@cfiwest.org

Subject: Re: Referral

I have been widely publicizing the failure of these parties, yourself

included, to debunk the Meier case...<

Then you have no understanding of my premise: I make no claim; Mr. Meier does. Let him prove his claim. It's that simple, yet you have a problem understanding this basic fact, it seems. Yet I'm sure you will "gleefully continue" to rant on....

Ah ha, we meet at last! Let me make it easy for you. At the website (www,andyettheyfly.com)

you will find a sample of the sounds of a UFO Meier **claims** he recorded on a cassette machine, outdoors,

in 1980. Duplicate it. With any synthesizers or sound sources you can. Betcha your million bucks

you can't. Oh yeah, make sure that the patterns they generate on a spectrum analyzer and oscilloscope

include the 8 inaudible sounds Meier captured, as well as the ambient sounds. Now, since he claims that

these are the sounds of a UFO it should be pretty easy for you, today, to show that they were just a washing machine and a large Swiss watch.

No one is "stumped" by the case. A child can see through the farce, though some adults have a problem with that.

I see, the Michael Shermer defense, i.e. children and elves can see through it. They can even duplicate his

photos using pie pans and hubcaps.

I'm always told about such things as "the prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq." Hate to rain on your favorite delusion, but let's look at (a) the number of "prophetic" statement Meier has made, and (b) how many were correct. Bummer!

While you may be always told about them apparently you haven't taken the time to do a little homework.

No matter, I've done it for you. At the website you'll find a partial list of the prophetically accurate material.

It's quite specific. We have the copyrighted, published documents to back it up. Maybe you can explain

how Meier beat Cornell University astronomers by 20 years with specific information regarding the

composition of the rings of Jupiter. They can't.

When did you make that prediction?

Maybe you can explain why Marcel Vogel, late of IBM, is on record stating he couldn't duplicate the metal

alloy samples Meier presented to him...with any technology known to him.

And yes, the statement regarding the attack on Iraq was first published in 1995, I downloaded it from

the Internet in 1998 and it was/is in the book "And Yet They Fly!" published September 16, 2001.

When did you make that prediction?

So, instead of continuing to show yourself as woefully uniformed, do indeed look at (a) and (b)..and then

explain how he did it.

You have a problem, especially being as unfamiliar with the content of the case as you are. You, and

other cultic debunkers, would rather attribute to Meier above-genius abilities in photography, film making,

video production, sound engineering, metallurgy and (dare I say) some form of prescience than accept

the obvious: his contacts, and his evidence, are authentic.

And, while you're at it, see if Mr. Rees and Mr. Shermer can make a better accounting of themselves,

lead by example, if you can.

All the best,

Michael Horn

Say hello to Hilly for me....

James Randi